

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Geometric quantization of the global Liouville mechanics

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 7477

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/32/43/303)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.111 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 07:48

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Geometric quantization of the global Liouville mechanics

Z Bajnok, D Nógrádi, D Varga and F Wágner

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Roland Eötvös University, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány sétány 1/A, Hungary

E-mail: bajnok@poe.elte.hu

Received 11 June 1999

**Abstract.** The reduced  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  WZW quantum mechanics is analysed within the framework of geometric quantization. The spectrum of the Hamiltonian is determined, and it is found, that in contrast to previous approaches, there is a unique, physically preferred quantization of the system.

The global aspects of the WZW  $\rightarrow$  Toda reduction [1], were analysed in [2] at the classical level. As a toy example the authors also considered the reduction of the system of a free particle moving on the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  group manifold. The problem of how to quantize this reduced system was addressed in [3, 4]. Both found inequivalent quantizations labelled by one or two real parameters. In this paper, using the method of geometric quantization, we argue that there is a unique quantization of the problem.

After reminding the known facts about the dynamics of a free particle moving on the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  group we impose Liouville-type constraints. The reduced system is quantized geometrically and the spectrum of the Hamiltonian is determined. Finally, we compare the results obtained to those of [3, 4] and comment on the differences.

Consider a free particle moving on the group  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . The dynamics is governed by the Lagrangian

$$L(g, \dot{g}) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(g^{-1} \dot{g} g^{-1} \dot{g}).$$

It is invariant under the transformations  $g \to g_{\text{left}}gg_{\text{right}}^{-1}$ , for which the Noether currents are  $J := J_{\text{left}} = \dot{g}g^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{J} := J_{\text{right}} = -g^{-1}\dot{g} = -g^{-1}Jg$ . The equation of motion is the conservation of the current  $\dot{J} = 0$ , with the geodetical motions as solutions.

In describing the dynamics at the Hamiltonian level we use the velocity phase space  $M = TG = G \times \mathcal{G}$  coordinatized by g and  $J \in \mathcal{G}$ . The symplectic form is  $\Omega = d\theta_L = d \operatorname{Tr}(J \, dg \, g^{-1})$  as in [5], where  $\theta_L = \sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} dq_i$  is the symplectic potential determined by the Lagrangian as usual. Clearly, the symplectic potential is not unique. Due to the non-trivial topology of the phase space  $M = (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  we could have different choices for  $\theta$  corresponding to the various cohomology elements labelled by  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note, however, that the only symplectic potential which is invariant under the full symmetry group is the one that corresponds to the Lagrangian, i.e.  $\theta_L$ . (To see that no other invariant symplectic potential exists observe that thanks to the structure of the phase space the non-trivial elements of the cohomology group correspond to the non-trivial cohomology class of the group itself. On the group, however, there exists no non-trivial left- and right-invariant 1-form). The Hamiltonian  $H = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(J^2)$  generates the dynamics:  $J = 0, \dot{g} = Jg$ .

0305-4470/99/437477+05\$30.00 © 1999 IOP Publishing Ltd

### 7478 Z Bajnok et al

The global Liouville system can be obtained by Hamiltonian reduction. One fixes certain components of the conserved currents:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_0 & J_+ \\ 1 & -J_0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tilde{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{J}_0 & 1 \\ \tilde{J}_- & -\tilde{J}_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The projected symplectic form is degenerate, the constraints are first class. Since the gauge transformations are nothing but the symmetry transformations generated by the strictly upperand strictly lower-diagonal matrices the Hamiltonian and the symplectic potential are gauge invariant. The reduced system can be obtained via gauge fixing

$$J_{gf} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J_+ \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tilde{J}_{gf} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ J_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad g = \begin{pmatrix} -J_+g_{22} & -g_{21} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The parameters  $g_{21}, g_{22}, J_+$  are not independent, and we have the condition

$$g_{21}^2 - J_+ g_{22}^2 = 1. (1)$$

These mean that the reduced phase space is a regular hypersurface of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  determined by (1). Topologically, it is  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$  and it has the symplectic potential

$$\theta = -2J_{+}g_{22} \,\mathrm{d}g_{21} + g_{21}g_{22} \,\mathrm{d}J_{+} + 2J_{+}g_{21} \,\mathrm{d}g_{22}. \tag{2}$$

Let us emphasize once more that it is possible to change the cohomology class of  $\theta$  without affecting the symplectic structure. This altered symplectic potential, however, does not correspond to the original  $\theta_L$ , i.e. to the Lagrangian, so it describes a physically different system as we will see at the quantum level.

It was shown in [8], that the reduced phase space is, in fact, symplectomorphic to  $T^*S^1$ , but the Hamiltonian is very complicated:  $H = \sin^{-2}\varphi(\cos^2\varphi - \exp(p_{\varphi}\sin^2\varphi))$ , so this description is not useful in quantizing the system. The Hamiltonian in our language, however, is very simple:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(J_{gf}^2) = J_+.$$

The solutions of the equations of motion can be read off from equation (1), they are the curves with constant energies. They are ellipsis for negative energies, lines for zero energy and hyperbolae for positive energies. We adopt a coordinate system to respect these curves, i.e. one of the parameters is the energy, while the other one parametrizes the orbit. The phase space can be covered by four neighbourhoods as

$$M_{<}^{\pm} = \{H < 0, \ \pm g_{21} > -\epsilon\} \qquad M_{>}^{\pm} = \{H > -\epsilon, \ \pm g_{21} > 1 - \epsilon\}$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a small positive parameter less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ . From now on in the expression  $\pm$ , +, (–) will refer to the part where  $g_{21} > 0$  (< 0), respectively. For negative energies we parametrize the phase space as

$$g_{21} = \pm \cos(\sqrt{-Ht})$$
  $g_{22} = \sqrt{-H}^{-1} \sin(\sqrt{-Ht})$ 

where  $-\frac{1}{2}\pi - \delta(\epsilon) < \sqrt{-Ht} < \frac{1}{2}\pi + \delta(\epsilon)$ , while for positive energies as

$$g_{21} = \pm \cosh(\sqrt{Ht})$$
  $g_{22} = \pm \sqrt{H}^{-1} \sinh(\sqrt{Ht})$   $-\infty < t < \infty.$ 

The symplectic potential and the symplectic form in both regimes are

$$\theta = 2H \, \mathrm{d}t + t \, \mathrm{d}H \qquad \Omega = \mathrm{d}H \wedge \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Although this parametrization covers the whole phase space with a smooth limit for  $H \rightarrow 0$ , the correct description is to restrict them into the neighbourhoods defined above. The Hamiltonian vector field of the Hamiltonian, H, is defined in the usual way,  $\Omega(X_H, \cdot) + dH = 0$ , it is simply  $X_H = \partial/\partial t$ .

In quantizing the theory we use the method of geometric quantization [6,7]. It contains two steps. In the first, called the prequantization, one constructs a Hermitian line bundle over the phase space with curvature  $\hbar^{-1}\Omega$  (with connection 1-form  $\hbar^{-1}\theta$ ). For each classical observable, f, a symmetric operator,  $\hat{f} = -i\hbar\nabla_{X_f} + f$ , is assigned, which acts on the squareintegrable sections of the bundle (pre-quantum wavefunctions). This operator is self-adjoint if the Hamiltonian flow of f is complete. Pre-quantum wavefunctions, however, depend on both coordinates, which is not acceptable for an adequate quantum theory. To avoid this in the second step a polarization is chosen and the Hilbert space is restricted to be built up from the square-integrable polarized sections. Accordingly, only those functions are quantizable, whose Hamiltonian flow preserves the polarization.

The first step in quantizing the theory is easy. Since the symplectic form is exact the line bundle exists and is also trivial. It is not unique, however, as the inequivalent choices are characterized by the holonomy of the connection and are parametrized by the unit circle.

A similar situation appears in the case of a non-simply connected configuration space, as in the Bohm–Aharonov effect. There one is faced with the fact that although at the classical level the system is determined by the equation of motion, which can be derived from various Lagrangians, at the quantum level the Lagrangian itself defines the theory, since it contains the vector potential explicitly. Different Lagrangians (different vector potentials) with the same classical theories describe different, physically non-equivalent quantum systems.

Concretizing to our case we have to use the globally defined symplectic potential (2), which corresponds to the original Lagrangian. Choosing a global section  $s_0$ , the connection is defined by

$$\nabla s_0 = -i\hbar^{-1}\theta s_0$$
  $\nabla s = (d - i\hbar^{-1}\theta)s.$ 

Since  $\theta$  is real  $s_0$  can be normalized as  $(s_0, s_0) = 1$ . Now each section can be written in the form  $s = \psi s_0$ , where  $\psi$  is a function on the phase space, i.e. the Hilbert space of the prequantized theory consists of the square-integrable functions (for the integration measure  $h^{-1}\Omega$ ). The operator we are interested in is the Hamiltonian. It acts on the sections as

$$\hat{H}s = (-i\hbar\nabla_{X\mu} + H)s$$

In order to make correspondence with the usual language of quantum mechanics we remind the reader that

$$\nabla_{X_H} s = \nabla_{X_H} \psi s_0 = (X_H \psi - i\hbar^{-1}\theta(X_H)\psi)s_0 = \left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} - i\hbar^{-1}2H\psi\right)s_0.$$

The second part of the geometric quantization is much more delicate. First of all we have to choose a polarization, a Lagrangian integrable distribution, for which the Hamiltonian is quantizable, i.e. which is preserved by the flow of the Hamiltonian. The most natural choice corresponds to  $X_H$ , i.e. the polarization given by  $P = \{\partial/\partial t\}$ . It is not a reducible polarization in the sense of [6], since the space of leaves is not a Hausdorff topological space. The leaves corresponding to the free motions, H = 0, do not have disjoint neighbourhoods, they are connected via the negative energy part of the phase space. It is not a problem, however, since for negative energies the leaves are compact and, as we will soon see, no non-zero smooth covariantly constant section exists.

# 7480 Z Bajnok et al

The polarized sections,  $\nabla_{X_H} s = 0$ , have the form  $e^{2iH\hbar^{-1}t}\psi(H)s_0$ . The pairing of two polarized sections are constant along the leaves (t = constant surfaces), so if the leaves are not compact then the integral over them is infinite, which is unacceptable. Clearly, what we need is to integrate over the reduced space M/P only, but there is no natural measure on this space. This problem is solved within the framework of geometric quantization by changing the geometric character of the wavefunctions: they are the square-integrable polarized sections of the modified bundle  $B \otimes \delta_P$ , where  $\delta_P$  is the half-form bundle. This modification not only ensures that the pairing of two wavefunctions gives densities on the space of leaves but also restores the correct relationship between the quantizations corresponding to different polarizations.

Taking a look at the polarized sections we can see that for compact leaves covariantly constant sections exist only for certain discrete values of the energy, consequently they cannot be smooth. The proper description is to deal with distributional wavefunctions in this domain, (see [7] for the details). The supports of these functions, the so-called Bohr–Sommerfeld (BS) varieties, are determined by the condition that the holonomy of the connection for the integral manifolds of the polarization should be trivial

$$\oint_{\gamma} \theta = -2\sqrt{-H} \, 2\pi = 2\pi\hbar(n_{\gamma} + d_{\gamma}) \qquad n_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where  $d_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}$  is the holonomy corresponding to the bundle  $\delta_P$  as a consequence of the metaplectic correction. (In defining the square root  $\delta_P$  of the canonical bundle  $K_P$  we have to take into account that not the symplectic, rather its double cover, the metaplectic group acts on it). For each BS variety a normalized section is associated, which is the eigenfunction of the energy operator with the eigenvalue

$$H_n = -\frac{1}{4}\hbar^2 (n + \frac{1}{2})^2.$$

(This result can also be understood by introducing the new variables  $\omega = 2\sqrt{-H}$ ,  $\varphi = \sqrt{-H}t$  on the negative energy part of the phase space. Then it is easy to see that this part of the phase space is the same as the phase space of the harmonic oscillator parametrized by its energy  $\omega$  and by the usual angle  $\varphi$ .)

The positive part of the spectrum is doubly degenerate and continuous.

The whole Hilbert space can be built up from the energy eigenfunctions as follows. It consists of the square-integrable functions  $\Psi_{\pm}(H)$ ,  $H \ge 0$  and the square-summable sequence of numbers  $\Psi_n$ . The inner product of two elements of the Hilbert space,  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  is given by

$$\langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} H \, \Psi_+^*(H) \Phi_+(H) + \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d} H \, \Psi_-^*(H) \Phi_-(H) + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \Psi_n^* \Phi_n.$$

Summarizing we quantized the system within the framework of geometric quantization and found a unique discrete spectrum for negative energies and a continuous doubly degenerate spectrum for non-negative energies. Comparing the result with that of [3, 4] we can say that our result is different from those: we have a unique quantization. The appearance of their parameters is due to the fact that they chose a bad parametrization for the phase space in which the lines  $g_{22} = 0$  had to be removed. Since every motion intersects at least one of those lines the flow of the Hamiltonian is not complete, i.e. the associated operator is not self-adjoint. Finding the possible self-adjoint extensions they arrived at the models, which in our language can be described by different symplectic potentials. (The holonomy of the various symplectic potentials are parametrized by a unit modulus number which also appears in the spectrum of the energy.) We have seen, however, that there is one physically preferred among them, the one which corresponds to the Lagrangian.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank L Fehér for reading the manuscript and for drawing our attention to [8]. ZB was supported by OTKA F019477, D25517, T029802.

#### References

- [1] Balog J, Fehér L, Forgács P, O'Raifeartaigh L and Wipf A 1990 Ann. Phys., NY 203 76
- [2] Fehér L and Tsutsui I 1995 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 118 173
- [3] Kobayashi H and Tsutsui I 1996 Nucl. Phys. B 472 409
- [4] Fülöp T 1996 Reduced  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  WZNW quantum mechanics J. Math. Phys. 37 1617
- Fülöp T 1996 Nonrelativistic and relativistic quantum mechanics on the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  group manifold Dissertation
- [5] Hasiewicz Z, Siemion P and Troost W 1994 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 9 4149
- [6] Woodhouse N M J 1992 Geometric Quantization (Oxford: Clarendon)
- [7] Śniatycki J 1979 Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics (Applied Mathematical Sciences vol 30) (Berlin: Springer)
- [8] Razumov A V and Yasnov V I 1997 Theor. Math. Phys. 110 119